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#### Abstract

A series of benzylic substituted $P, N$-ligands 1 and $\mathbf{2}$ have been synthesized. The Pd-complexes of these ligands show high catalytic activity and enantioselectivity in catalyzing the asymmetric Heck reaction. A dramatic switch in enantioselectivity is realized using ligands with and without substituents at the benzylic position of the ligand. Ligands $\mathbf{1}$ with H as the substituents offer products in $(R)$-configuration while ligands 2 with the methyl as substituents result in ( $S$ )-configuration products. In most cases high enantioselectivities are achieved. Density functional theory calculations on the reaction mechanism as well as X-ray analysis of $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{2 a}-\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ complexes provide a rational explanation for the above observations.


## Introduction

Both enantiomers of a chiral compound may often be demanded in organic synthesis, medicinal and biological chemistry, and the pharmaceutical industry. Usually, they can be obtained by using chiral ligands with opposite configurations in asymmetric catalysis. ${ }^{1}$ However, the antipodes of ligands are not always easily available. Thus, many strategies have been developed to realize the tuning of enantioselectivity of reactions ${ }^{2}$ using single ligands with different transition metals, ${ }^{3}$ solvents, ${ }^{4}$ or temperatures. ${ }^{5}$ There are also some examples of the reversal of enantioselectivity using ligands with a single chiral

[^0]backbone simply by modifying some groups of the ligands ${ }^{6}$ or using other approaches. ${ }^{7}$ Recently, an excellent example of a hydrogen-bond-directed reversal of enantioselectivity was also reported. ${ }^{8}$

In the course of studying reaction selectivity control, ${ }^{9}$ we observed that ligands with substituents at the benzylic position showed higher catalytic activities in a Pd-catalyzed asymmetric Heck reaction and an allylic alkylation reaction. ${ }^{10}$ Further structural modification of ligands revealed that the substituent
(6) (a) Busacca, C. A.; Grossbach, D.; So, R. C.; O'Brien, E. M.; Spinelli, E. M. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 595. (b) Busacca, C. A. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 5187. (c) Hoarau, O.; Aït-Haddou, H.; Daran, J. C.; Cramailére, D.; Balavoine, G. G. A. Organometallics 1999, 18, 4718. (d) AïtHaddou, H.; Hoarau, O.; Cramailère, D.; Pezet, F.; Daran, J. C.; Balavoine, G. G. A. Chem.-Eur. J. 2004, 10, 699. (e) Clyne, D. S.; Mermet-Bouvier, Y. C.; Nomura, N.; RajanBabu, T. V. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 7601.
(7) Some examples: (a) Kitagawa, O.; Matsuo, S.; Yotsumoto, K.; Taguchi, T. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 2524. (b) Lutz, F.; Igarashi, T.; Kawasaki, T.; Soai, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12206. (c) Mao, J.; Wan, B.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, R.; Wu, F.; Lu, S. J. Mol. Catal. A 2005, 225, 33. (d) Danjo, H.; Higuchi, M.; Yada, M.; Imamoto, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 603. (e) Kuwano, R.; Sawamura, M.; Ito, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2000, 73, 2571. (f) Evans, D. A.; Kozlowski, M. C.; Murry, J. A.; Burgey, C. S.; Campos, K. R.; Connell, B. T.; Staples, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 669. (g) Ashimori, A.; Overman, L. E. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 4571.
(8) Zeng, W.; Chen, G. Y.; Zhou, Y. G.; Li, Y. X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 750.
(9) (a) Dai, L. X.; Tu, T.; You, S. L.; Deng, W. P.; Hou, X. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 659. (b) Zhou, Y. G.; Li, A. H.; Hou, X. L.; Dai, L. X. Chem. Commun. 1996, 1353. (c) Zhou, Y. G.; Li, A. H.; Dai, L. X.; Hou, X. L. Huaxue Xuebao 2000, 58, 473. (d) You, S. L.; Zhu, X. Z.; Luo, Y. M.; Hou, X. L.; Dai, L. X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 7471. (e) Yang, X. F.; Zhang, M. J.; Hou, X. L.; Dai, L. X. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 8097. (f) Tu, T.; Deng, W. P.; Hou, X. L.; Dai, L. X.; Dong, X. C. Chem.-Eur. J. 2003, 9, 3073. (g) Hou, X. L.; Sun, N. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4399. (Corrections: Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1435). (h) Zheng, W. H.; Sun, N.; Hou, X. L. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5151. (i) Yan, X. X.; Peng, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, K.; Hong, W.; Hou, X. L.; Wu, Y. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1979.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Ligands 1

at the benzylic position had great impact on the stereoselectivity of the reactions. The configuration of products was switchable when ligands $1\left(R^{1}=H\right)$ and $2\left(R^{1}=M e\right)$ were used in Pd catalyzed asymmetric Heck reactions. Here, we report our results on the application of these ligands in Pd-catalyzed asymmetric intermolecular Heck reactions of 2,3-dihydrofuran and N -car-bomethoxy-2,3-dihydropyrrole as well as a detailed computational study for the rationalization of the observed stereochemistry. ${ }^{10 d}$

## Results and Discussion

The preparation of ligands $\mathbf{1}$ is depicted in Scheme 1. Lithiation of o-bromotoluene $\mathbf{3}$ with $n$ - BuLi followed by quenching with $\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ gave phosphine 4. ${ }^{11}$ Direct benzyliclithiation of 4 and quenching with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ provided acid 5. ${ }^{12}$ Esterification of acid $\mathbf{5}$ followed by treatment with $n$-BuLi and amino alcohols afforded amides 7, and ring-closure of 7 gave rise to ligands $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{c}$.

The synthesis of ligands $\mathbf{2}$ is outlined in Scheme 2. Reaction of compound $\mathbf{8}^{13}$ with $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ and then with corresponding amino alcohols provided amides $\mathbf{9}$, from which oxazolines $\mathbf{1 0}$ were prepared according to the literature procedure. ${ }^{14}$ Lithiation of 10 with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by quenching with $\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ resulted in ligands 2.
(10) (a) Hou, X. L.; Wu, X. W.; Dai, L. X.; Cao, B. X.; Sun, J. Chem. Commun. 2000, 1195. (b) Wu, H.; Wu, X. W.; Hou, X. L.; Dai, L. X.; Wang, Q. R. Chin. J. Chem. 2002, 20, 816. (c) Hou, X. L.; Dong, D. X.; Yuan, K. Tetrahedron: Asvmmetry 2004, 15, 2189. (d) Preliminary results on the application of ligands $\mathbf{1}$ in Pd-catalyzed asymmetric Heck reaction of 2,3-dihydrofuran have been reported; see ref 10 c .
(11) Tanner, D.; Wyatt, P.; Johansson, F.; Bertilsson, S. K.; Andersson, P. G. Acta Chem. Scand. 1999, 53, 263.
(12) Hingst, M.; Tepper, M.; Stelzer, O. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 73.
(13) Beak, P.; Kerrick, S. T.; Gallagher, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 10628.
(14) Vorbrüggen, H.; Krolikiewicz, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 4471.
(15) For some recent reviews, see: (a) Tietze, L. T.; Ila, H.; Bell, H. P. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 3453. (b) Shibasaki, M.; Vogl, E. M.; Ohshima, T. Adv. Svnth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1533. (c) Tietze, L. F.; Lotz, F. In Asymmetric Synthesis; Christmann, M.; Braese, S., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2007, p 147. (d) Shibasaki, M.; Vogl, E. M. In Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis; Jacobsen, E. N., ; Pfaltz, A., ; Yamamoto, H., Eds.; Springer: Berlin and Heidelberg, 1999; p 458. For some examples of intermolecular asymmetric Heck reactions: (e) Ozawa, F.;; Kubo, A.;; Hayashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 1417. (f) Loiseleur, O.; Meier, P.; Pfaltz, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 200. (g) Tietze, L. F.; Thede, K.; Sannicolò, F. Chem. Commun. 1999, 1811. (h) Yonehara, K.; Mori, K.; Hashizume, T.; Chung, K. G.; Ohe, K.; Uemura, S. J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 603, 40. (i) Mata, Y.; Pàmies, O.; Diéguez, M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2007, 13, 3296.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Ligands 2


Table 1. Pd-Catalyzed Asymmetric Heck Reaction of 11 Using Ligands 1 and $\mathbf{2}^{a}$

| entry | ligand | 12 | yield, $\%^{b}$ | $13 / 14^{c}$ | ee, $\%(13)^{d}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1a | 12a | 62 | $80 / 1$ | $78(R)$ |
| 2 | 1b | 12a | 79 | $80 / 1$ | $93(R)$ |
| 3 | 1c | 12a | 93 | $50 / 1$ | $61(R)$ |
| 4 | 2a | 12a | 86 | $50 / 1$ | $81(S)$ |
| 5 | 2b | 12a | 78 | $50 / 1$ | $10(S)$ |
| 6 | 1b | 12b | 89 | $18 / 1$ | $86(R)$ |
| 7 | 2a | 12b | quant. | $18 / 1$ | $39(S)$ |
| 8 | 1b | 12c | $77^{e}$ | n.d. ${ }^{g}$ | $82(R)$ |
| 9 | 2a | 12c | $90^{f}$ | n.d. ${ }^{g}$ | $82(S)$ |
| 10 | 1b | 12d | 66 | $70 / 1$ | $87(R)$ |
| 11 | 2a | 12d | 83 | $1 / 0$ | $87(S)$ |
| 12 | 1b | 12e | 82 | $100 / 1$ | $89(R)$ |
| 13 | 2a | 12e | 78 | $100 / 1$ | $84(S)$ |
| 14 | 1b | 12f | 69 | $40 / 1$ | $95(R)$ |
| 15 | 2a | 12f | quant. | $100 / 1$ | $65(S)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $\mathbf{1 1}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{1 2}(2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dba})_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\%$ ), ligand ( $6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(1 \mathrm{~mol})$, solvent ( 2.5 mL , THF for entries $1-5$, benzene for entries $6-15) .{ }^{b}$ Isolated yields of $\mathbf{1 3}$ and 14. ${ }^{c}$ Determined by GC. ${ }^{d}$ Determined by GC or HPLC using chiral columns. The absolute configuration of products was assigned according to the reference method. ${ }^{9 f}{ }^{e} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ was used as the palladium source. Isolated yield of $\mathbf{1 3 c}$ after $40 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{f}$ Yield of $\mathbf{1 3 c}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ was used. ${ }^{g}$ Not determined.

With these ligands in hand, we applied them to the Pdcatalyzed asymmetric intermolecular Heck reaction of 2,3dihydrofuran $\mathbf{1 1}$ with aryl triflates $\mathbf{1 2 a} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{e}$ and cyclohexenyl triflate $\mathbf{1 2 f}$ using $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{dba})_{2}$ and $6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of ligands with $i$ - $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ as a base in THF at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (eq 1 )..$^{15,10 \mathrm{c}}$ The results are shown in Table 1.


The ligands appeared to be more active in the intermolecular Heck reaction than other reported ligands did. ${ }^{15}$ All the reactions completed in 20 h monitored by TLC, providing 2 -substituted-2,5-dihydrofuran $\mathbf{1 3}$ predominantly. It is intriguing that $\mathbf{1 3}$ was obtained as an $(R)$-enantiomer when ligands $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{c}$ were used (entries $1-3,6,8,12,14$ ), while ( $S$ )-13 was afforded when ligands were $\mathbf{2 a - b}$ (entries $4,5,7,9,11,13,15$ ). $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ have the same configuration. Thus, the dimethyl groups at the


Figure 1. Three modes in the asymmetric phenyl insertion reaction.
benzylic position of the ligand have a significant impact on the stereochemistry of the reactions. ${ }^{16}$
The enantioselectivity is also affected by the R group on the oxazoline ring. In the case of ligands $\mathbf{1},(R)$-2-phenyl-2,5dihydrofuran 13a in $93 \%$ ee was obtained when ligand $\mathbf{1 b}$ with a tert-butyl group on the oxazoline ring was used while the ee value of 13a decreased to $78 \%$ and $61 \%$ if 1a and $\mathbf{1 c}$ with an iso-propyl and a phenyl group on the oxazoline ring was the ligand, respectively (entry 2 vs entries 1 and 3). For ligands 2 with two methyl groups at the benzylic position, better ee of $(S)$-13a was obtained by using ligand 2a with iso-propyl as the substituent on the oxazoline ring than by using $\mathbf{2 b}$ with tertbutyl as the substituent (entry 4 vs entry 5 ). It is interesting that higher enantioselectivity is obtained with more bulky $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ for ligands $\mathbf{1}$ while it is the opposite for ligands $\mathbf{2}$.

In order to verify further the reversal of the enantioselectivity using these ligands, Heck reactions of $N$-carbomethoxy-2,3dihydropyrrole $\mathbf{1 5}$ with some aryl triflates were carried out (eq 2). ${ }^{17,18}$ Still, ligand 1b yielded products $\mathbf{1 6}$ as $(R)$-enantiomers while ligand 2a led to ( $S$ )-enantiomers. Ligand 2a demonstrated better activities than ligand $\mathbf{1 b}$ did.


To reveal the effect of the geminal dimethyl groups at the benzylic position of the ligand and rationalize the reversal of the enantioselectivity, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were used to model the asymmetric insertion step of the Heck reaction (Scheme 3). Three different modes in the asymmetric insertion need to be studied, as shown in Figure 1.
(16) There have been many examples of geminal alkyl group effect on reactivity and stereochemistry. For reviews, see: Jung, M. E.; Piizzi, G. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 1735.
(17) (a) Ozawa, F.; Hayashi, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 428, 267. (b) Tietze, L. F.; Thede, K. Synlett 2000, 1470. (c) Loiseleur, O.; Hayashi, M.; Schmees, N.; Pfaltz, A. Sunthesis 1997, 1338. (d) Tu, T.; Hou, X. L.; Dai, L. X. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3651.
(18) The reaction was carried out with a 0.5 mmol scale $(\mathbf{1 2} / \mathbf{1 5}=1 / 4)$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dba})_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and the ligand ( $6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) with $i-\operatorname{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(200 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ as a base in 2.5 mL of toluene under an argon atmosphere for 4 days. The ee value of $\mathbf{1 6}$ was determined by chiral HPLC. Absolute configuration of products was assigned according to ref 17 a .

That is, (1) the coordination of dihydrofuran can be either cis or trans with respect to ligand oxazoline N ; (2) the sevenmembered ring formed by the ligand coordination with Pd can be in either the syn or anti conformation according to the orientation of the benzylic substituents and the phenyl group on phosphorus; (3) the addition of Ph can be on either the Re or Si face of dihydrofuran. Overall, eight transition states are possible. Six of the possible transition states for the reactions of $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathrm{Pd}$ and $\mathbf{2 a}-\mathrm{Pd}$ with 2,3-dihydrofuran $\mathbf{1 1}$ were fully optimized with the density functional theory method of B3LYP/ $6-31 \mathrm{G}^{*}(\operatorname{Lan} 12 \mathrm{DZ}$ for Pd and P$) .{ }^{19}$ The relative energies and some selected bond lengths of the six transition states along with those of the corresponding precursor complexes (CP2) are given in Table 2. The other two possible transition states, cis-anti-Si and cis-anti-Re, were not calculated because of expected high instabilities (see below).

Trans versus Cis. The calculations indicate that, for complex CP2, the cis mode is apparently more stable than the trans mode, but the trans mode of the transition states (TS1) is much more stable than the cis mode with both ligands 1a and 2a. These results can be rationalized by ligand-ligand interactions. CP2 and TS1 structures are all in a square-planar geometry. ${ }^{20,21}$ As shown in Figure 2, the dihydrofuran coordinates to Pd in a perpendicular geometry to facilitate a $\pi$-back-donation. Since P is a more powerful $\sigma$-donor and $\pi$-acceptor than $\mathrm{N},{ }^{22}$ it has a larger trans-influence. That is, the bond anti to P is more weakened. This is clearly indicated by the calculated bond distances as shown in Table 2 and in Figure 2: the $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ distance is $2.010 \AA$ in the cis-syn-Re structure and is increased to $2.019 \AA$ in the trans-syn-Re structure, which is the main cause of destabilization for the trans-syn-Re structure. This effect is
(19) The calculations were performed with Gaussian 03 program: Frisch, M. J. et al. Gaussian 03, revision C.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.
(20) For selected papers on the calculation of Heck reaction, see: (a) Dotta, P.; Magistrato, A.; Rothlisberger, U.; Pregosin, P. S.; Albinati, A. Organometallics 2002, 21, 3033. (b) von Schenck, H.; Åkermark, B.; Svensson, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 3503. (c) Deeth, R. J.; Smith, A.; Brown, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 7144. (d) Lin, B. L.; Liu, L.; Fu, Y.; Luo, S. W.; Chen, Q.; Guo, Q. X. Organometallics 2004, 23, 2114. (e) Mota, A. J.; Dedieu, A.; Bour, C.; Suffert, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7171. (f) Tabares-Mendoza, C.; Guadarrama, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 2978. (g) Cui, X.; Li, Z.; Tao, C. Z.; Xu, Y.; Li, J.; Liu, L.; Guo, Q. X. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2467. (h) Datta, G. K.; von Schenck, H.; Hallberg, A.; Larhed, M. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 3896. (i) Lee, M. T.; Lee, H. M.; Hu, C. H. Organometallics 2007, 26, 1317.
(21) For selected papers on the calculation of oxidative addition: (a) Goossen, L. J.; Koley, D.; Hermann, H. L.; Thiel, W. Chem. Commun. 2004, 2141. (b) Goossen, L. J.; Koley, D.; Hermann, H. L.; Thiel, W. Organometallics 2005, 24, 2398. (c) Goossen, L. J.; Koley, D.; Hermann, H. L.; Thiel, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 11102. (d) Fazaeli, R.; Ariafard, A.; Jamshidi, S.; Tabatabaie, E. S.; Pishro, K. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 3984. (e) Fairlamb, I. J. S.; Lee, A. F. Organometallics 2007, 26, 4087. (f) Lam, K. C.; Marder, T. B.; Lin, Z. Y. Organometallics 2007, 26, 758. (g) Kozuch, S.; Amatore, C.; Jutand, A.; Shaik, S. Organometallics 2005, 24, 2319. (h) Ariafard, A.; Lin, Z. Y. Organometallics 2006, 25, 4030.
(22) For selected papers, see: (a) Goldfuss, B. J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 4508. (b) Goldfuss, B.; Löschmann, T.; Rominger, F. Chem.-Eur. J. 2004, 10, 5422. (c) Tu, T.; Zhou, Y. G.; Hou, X. L.; Dai, L. X.; Dong, X. C.; Yu, Y. H.; Sun, J. Organometallics 2003, 22, 1255. (d) Kollmar, M.; Steinhagen, H.; Janssen, J. P.; Goldfuss, B.; Malinovskaya, S. A.; Vázquez, J.; Rominger, F.; Helmchen, G. Chem.-Eur. J. 2002, 8, 3103. (e) Steinhagen, H.; Reggelin, M.; Helmchen, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 2108. (f) Togni, A.; Burckhardt, U.; Gramlich, V.; Pregosin, P. S.; Salzmann, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 1031. (g) Lin, Z. Y.; Hall, M. B. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 646. (h) Keith, J. A.; Behenna, D. C.; Mohr, J. T.; Ma, S.; Marinescu, S. C.; Oxgaard, J.; Stoltz, B. M.; Goddard, W. A., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 11876.

Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ ) and Relative Free Energies ( $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) of Calculated Complexes and Transition States in the Insertion Reaction Step (Scheme 3) ${ }^{a}$

| structure | 1a |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CP2 |  |  | TS1 |  |  |  | CP2 |  |  | TS1 |  |  |  |
|  | Pd1-C2 | $\begin{gathered} \Delta G_{333 K} \\ \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta G_{333 k}^{\prime} \\ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | Pd1-C2 | C2-C3 | $\begin{gathered} \Delta G_{33 k} \\ \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta G_{333 K}^{\prime} \\ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | Pd1-C2 | $\begin{gathered} \Delta G_{333 \mathrm{~K}} \\ \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta G_{333 k}^{\prime} \\ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | Pd1-C2 | C2-C3 | $\begin{gathered} \Delta G_{333 \mathrm{~K}} \\ \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta G_{333 К}^{\prime} \\ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ |
| cis-syn-Si | 2.010 | -2.5/-3.6 | -2.7/-3.7 | 2.158 | 2.027 | 3.6/2.5 | 3.9/2.8 | 2.011 | 0.1/-1.7 | -0.2/-2.1 | 2.160 | 2.029 | 5.2/4.1 | 5.3/3.8 |
| cis-syn-Re | 2.010 | -2.8/-4.6 | -2.9/-4.6 | 2.160 | 2.006 | 6.3/5.1 | 6.7/5.7 | 2.010 | 0.2/-1.8 | -0.1/-2.1 | 2.162 | 2.000 | 8.3/6.2 | 8.6/6.7 |
| trans-syn-Si | 2.021 | 0.0/0.0 | 0.0/0.0 | 2.152 | 2.127 | 0.0/0.0 | 0.0/0.0 | 2.022 | 2.7/1.9 | 2.5/1.7 | 2.156 | 2.131 | 1.9/1.6 | 1.6/1.2 |
| trans-syn-Re | 2.019 | -0.5/-0.9 | -0.2/-0.6 | 2.146 | 2.124 | 0.7/0.5 | 0.9/0.7 | 2.023 | 1.9/1.0 | 2.0/1.1 | 2.150 | 2.125 | 2.8/1.9 | 2.9/1.9 |
| trans-anti-Si | 2.016 | 0.7/-0.1 | 0.8/0.0 | 2.147 | 2.124 | 2.5/2.0 | 2.6/2.0 | 2.019 | -0.5/-0.5 | -0.3/-0.3 | 2.147 | 2.117 | 0.8/0.6 | 1.0/0.7 |
| trans-anti-Re | 2.014 | 0.8/-0.4 | 0.7/-0.5 | 2.147 | 2.137 | 1.8/1.6 | 1.8/1.2 | 2.019 | 0.0/0.0 | 0.0/0.0 | 2.149 | 2.134 | 0.0/0.0 | 0.0/0.0 |

[^1]

Figure 2. Calculated complex structures ( $\mathbf{C P} 2$ in Scheme 3) mediated by Pd-1a. For clarity, most hydrogen atoms are omitted.

Scheme 3. Asymmetric Insertion Step of the Heck Reaction

transferred to the transition state as the trans-effect; that is, the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Ph}$ bond is more activated in the trans mode, and it is more reactive toward the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ coupling reaction. In addition, the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond in the trans-syn-Re is better activated as indicated by its longer $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ bond length $(1.386 \AA)$ than that in the cis-syn-Re structure ( $1.376 \AA$ ). Therefore, the trans- Ph group can proceed with the addition to the 2,3-dihydrofuran double bond with an earlier transition state (longer forming $C(2)-C(3)$ bond distance and shorter $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ bond distance) and a lower activation energy (see Table 2).

Another possibility for the higher reactivity of the trans mode might be that it takes less energy to rotate the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond from the perpendicular conformation in CP2 to a coplanar conformation, which is required for the transition state. Indeed, we were able to locate the coplanar complexes. As given in Supporting Information (Table S2), these structures are all about $4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with respect to their corresponding perpendicular
structures, and there is not a big difference in the rotation energy between the trans and the cis modes of coordination. Therefore, it cannot be a major factor for the higher reactivity of the trans mode.

Syn versus Anti. When ligand 1a or 2a coordinates with Pd, two conformations (syn and anti) are possible for the seven-membered-ring. If we use the plane of the bridging benzene ring as a reference, both the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pd}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}$ (oxazoline) bonds have to be out of the plane. If the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pd}$ is below the plane, the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}$ (oxazoline) bond can be either below or above the plane to form syn and anti conformations, respectively. The two phenyl groups on P arrange in axial (above the plane) and equatorial (below the plane) positions, respectively. Calculations indicate that the syn conformation is more stable than the anti (by $1-2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, Table 2) both in the $\mathbf{C P} 2$ and in the TS1 with ligand 1a. The anti conformation is less stable because of a larger ring strain. The $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}$ (oxazoline) $-\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ dihedral angle is about $10^{\circ}-13^{\circ}$ in the $\operatorname{syn}$ structures, but it is about $33^{\circ}-36^{\circ}$ in the anti structures. As a result, the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Pd}$ binding is stronger in the syn than in the anti structures, as indicated by the calculated $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ distances $(\sim 2.210 \AA$ in syn and $\sim 2.260 \AA$ in anti). On the other hand, ligand 2a with two methyl groups at the benzylic position favors forming the anti-conformation of CP2 and TS1 (by $1-2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, Table 2). If the benzylic group is $\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{1 a})$, the syn structure does not suffer from the steric interaction between the benzylic group H and the axial phenyl group on the phosphine; the shortest $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ distance is $2.795 \AA$. However, when the benzylic groups are methyl, the syn


Figure 3. ORTEP drawings of X-ray crystal structures of $\mathbf{P d C l}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{- 1} \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{P d C l}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{- 2 a}$ complexes.


Figure 4. Calculated transition states TS1 and the dihedral angles for the phenyl insertion reaction step (the number of the atom, see Scheme 3) mediated by Pd-1a, in which the phenyl group is trans to the P ligand. For clarity, the bridging benzyl group of the ligand and most hydrogen atoms are omitted.
conformation suffers from a significant steric interaction between the axial Me and axial Ph groups, as indicated in Scheme 3.

The above predictions prompted us to obtain crystal structures of the complexes of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ with ligands 1a and 2a. As shown in Figure 3, X-ray analyses of the two complexes indeed indicate that $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2} \mathbf{- 1 a}$ is in a syn conformation and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2} \mathbf{- 2 a}$ is in an anti conformation.

Re versus Si. As shown in Table 2, no matter whether the ligand is 1a or 2a, the trans-syn-Si transition state is more stable than the trans-syn-Re transition state by $0.7-0.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. On the other hand, the trans-anti-Si transition state is less stable than the trans-anti-Re transition state by $0.7-1.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Since trans-syn is more stable than trans-anti for ligand 1a, the $(R)$ product is predicted to be the major product when the ligand is 1a. In contract, trans-anti is more stable than trans-syn for ligand $\mathbf{2 a}$, and the $(S)$-product is predicted to be the major product
when the ligand is $2 \mathbf{a}$. These predictions are in qualitative agreement with experimental observations (Table 1).

Figure 4 shows the four phenyl insertion transition states (TS1 in Scheme 3) of Pd-1a-(phenyl)(2,3-dihydrofuran) with the bridging benzyl group of the ligand deleted for clarity. In these structures, the phenyl group is trans to the P atom of the $\mathbf{1 a}$ ligand. The structures are arranged in such a way that $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ is in the plane perpendicular to the paper. The two favorable transition structures for the syn and anti conformations, trans-syn-Si and trans-anti-Re, have a nearly perfect square-planar Pd center (the dihedral angels of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 2$ are nearly $0^{\circ}$, Figure 4). On the other hand, the two less favorable transition structures, trans-syn-Re and trans-anti-Si, have the Pd center distorted away from a square-planar geometry. This situation is exactly the same for the corresponding four transition


Figure 5. Side view of the syn conformation of Pd-1a and the anti conformation of Pd-2a and schematic models for the prediction of enantioselectivity with the two ligands 1a and 2a.
structures with 2a as ligand (see Supporting Information, coordinates of calculated structures in Table S1).

A closer inspection of the transition structures indicates that the distortions in trans-syn-Re and trans-anti-Si are not caused by the steric interaction between the Pd-bound phenyl group and the oxazoline. The only close contact is between the hydrogen of the isopropyl group and the phenyl group. However, the steric interaction should be about the same for Si and Re structures without the distortion. Figure 5 shows the side view of the syn conformation of Pd-1a and the anti conformation of Pd-2a. Due to the constraint of the seven-membered-ring, the two phenyl groups on P atom create an unsymmetrical environment around the Pd metal center. As shown more clearly by ChemDraw schematic pictures (Figure 5B), in the syn conformation, the axial phenyl group is closer to the Pd center (the bond length of $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4$ is shorter than the one of $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 4)$. Thus, it is more crowded above the square-planar Pd plane and less crowded below the Pd plane in the syn transition state. On the other hand, in the anti conformation, the equatorial phenyl group is closer to the Pd center (the bond length of $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 4$ is shorter) and causes more steric crowding below the Pd plane in the anti transition state. This explains why the trans-syn-Si and trans-anti-Re transition states, which do not need distortion, are more favorable than the trans-syn-Re and trans-anti-Si transition states, which have to be distorted, respectively. As expected, differences in steric interaction in the products should be even more significant. This is indeed the case. The calculated
preference for the products of trans-syn-Si and trans-anti-Re over the products of trans-syn-Re and trans-anti-Si is about 2.4 and $1.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively (Figure $6, \mathbf{C P} 3$ ).

The above results also allow us to rationalize the opposite effect of $t-\mathrm{Bu}$ of ligands $\mathbf{1 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$ on the enantioselectivity that is observed experimentally (Table 1). Both ligands 1a and 1b should favor the syn conformation. Because of the geometrical distortion in the trans-syn-Re, the replacement of the $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ group by the $t-\mathrm{Bu}$ group causes more steric interaction to the trans-syn-Re than to the trans-syn-Si, resulting in increased enantioselectivity. On the other hand, both ligands 2a and 2b favor the anti conformation. The replacement of the $i$-Pr by the $t$-Bu group introduces more steric interaction to the trans-anti$R e$ than to the trans-anti-Si, resulting in decreased enantioselectivity. These qualitative analyses are supported by calculation results. With ligand $\mathbf{2 b}$, the trans-anti-Si transition state is less stable than the trans-anti-Re transition state by only $0.4 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mol. The trans-syn-Si transition state is much more stable than the trans-syn-Re transition state by $1.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ when ligand $\mathbf{1 b}$ is used.

## Summary

A series of benzylic substituted $P, N$-ligands $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ with H and Me as substituents at the benzylic position, respectively, have been synthesized. The palladium complexes of these ligands show high catalytic activity and enantioselectivity in catalyzing the asymmetric Heck reaction. A dramatic switch in


Figure 6. Calculated free energy $\left(\Delta G_{333 \mathrm{~K}}^{\prime}, 6-311+\mathrm{G}^{* *}\right)$ profiles relevant to the $R e$-attack and $S i$-attack in the insertion reaction.
enantioselectivity is realized using ligands with and without substituents at the benzylic position of the ligands. Ligands $\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}$ offer products in the $(R)$-configuration while ligands $\mathbf{2}$ with $\mathrm{R}^{1}=$ Me result in $(S)$-configuration products. In most cases high enantioselectivities are achieved. Density functional theory calculations on the reaction mechanism as well as X-ray analysis of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2} \mathbf{- 1 a}$ and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}-\mathbf{2 a}$ complexes provide a rational explanation for the above observations: (1) Ligands $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ coordinate with Pd in syn and anti seven-memberedring conformations, respectively; (2) due to the stronger trans effect of P over N , the Pd-bound phenyl group prefers trans to P in the transition state so that it is more activated with a lower barrier for addition to Pd-coordinated 2,3-dihydrofuran substrate; (3) because of the unsymmetrical environment caused by the two phenyl groups on P (Figure 5), the trans-syn- Si and the trans-anti-Re transition states are more favorable than trans-syn-Re and trans-anti-Si transition states, respectively, which require a geometrical distortion away from the square-planar Pd center. Further applications of these ligands in asymmetric catalysis are in progress.

## Experimental Section

General Information. All reactions were performed under a dry argon atmosphere. The commercially available reagents were used without further purification. The solvents were treated by using standard methods. Unless otherwise stated, all ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and the chemical shifts were referenced to $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(\delta=7.27 \mathrm{ppm})$ or TMS $(\delta=0 \mathrm{ppm})$. The chemical shifts for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were referenced to $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(\delta=77.0$ ppm). The chemical shifts for ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra were referenced

[^2]to external $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. Optical rotation was measured with a thermally jacketed 10 cm cell at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (concentration C given as $\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). IR spectra were recorded in KBr and measured in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Melting points are uncorrected. Diphenyl(o-tolyl)phosphine (4), ${ }^{11}$ 2-[2-(diphenylphosphino)phenyl]acetic acid (5), ${ }^{12}$ and 2-(2-bro-mophenyl)-2-methylpropanoic acid $\mathbf{8}^{13}$ were prepared using the literature procedure. Asymmetric Heck reactions proceeded according to the known procedures, and the ee values of products were determined using GC or HPLC with a chiral column.

Preparation of Methyl 2-[2-(diphenylphosphino)phenyl]acetate (6). At $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5(4.363 \mathrm{~g}, 13.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, DCC ( $3.330 \mathrm{~g}, 16.0$ mmol), and DMAP ( 60 mg ) were mixed in MeOH ( 6 mL ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature until the reaction was completed monitored by TLC. The reaction mixture was filtered through a silica gel pad and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=20$ ) to afford $\mathbf{6}$ as a white solid ( $4.586 \mathrm{~g}, 13.6 \mathrm{mmol}$, quant.). Mp: 66-68 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr , $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3058, 1737, 751, 699; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.43$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-14.31$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 39.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=23 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 51.7,127.4,128.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=6.8\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 128.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=20.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.1,130.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $133.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=19.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.9,136.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 136.5(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{PC}}=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 138.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=26.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 171.5 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%):$ $334\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3.67\right), 319$ (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}: \mathrm{C}, 75.44$; H, 5.73. Found: C, 75.46; H, 5.66.

Preparation of (S)-2-[2-(Diphenylphosphino)benzyl]-4-iso-propyl-4,5-dihydrooxazole (1a). To a solution of L-valinol (514 $\mathrm{mg}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 40 mL ) was added $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $6.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise within 20 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h , a solution of $6(1.670 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 10 mL ) was added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 27 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and 20 mL of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ aqua solution were added. The organic phase was separated, and the water phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the organic solvents, the mixture was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=2$ ) to give $\mathbf{7 a}$ as a white solid ( $1.306 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%$ ).
$\mathrm{MsCl}(160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise over 10 min into a solution of $7 \mathrm{a}(567 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight and quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$. Usual workup gave $\mathbf{1 a}$ as a colorless oil ( $389 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, $72 \%) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}-36.0\left(c 0.56, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2960, 2873, $1699,1435,745,697 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.82$ (d, J $=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.69-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.14-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-14.44 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 17.9,18.8,32.2,33.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=23 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $69.8,71.8,127.0,128.26\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=16.6\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 128.9,129.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=20.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $133.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=19.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.72,136.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 136.2$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 140.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=26.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 165.1 ;$ MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%): $387\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2.33\right), 344$ (2.25), 316 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26}$ NOP: C, 77.50 ; H, 6.76; N, 3.62. Found: C, 77.38; H, 6.80; N, 3.52.
Preparation of (S)-2-[2-(Diphenylphosphino)benzyl]-4-tert-butyl-4,5-dihydrooxazole (1b). 1b was prepared as a white solid ( $43 \%$, two steps) using the same procedure as that for 1a. Mp: $49-51{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}-37.2\left(c 1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2960$, 1666, 1471, 1433, 744, 695; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.85$ $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-14.36$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 25.5,32.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=24 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 33.1$, $68.2,75.2,126.8,128.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=6.8\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 128.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.7,129.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $133.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=19.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.52,135.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) 136.0$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 136.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 139.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=26.4\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 165.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ;$ MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%): 401\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 6.86\right)$, 316 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ NOP: C, 77.78; H, 7.03; N, 3.49. Found: C, 77.45; H, 7.05; N, 3.28.

Preparation of (S)-2-[2-(Diphenylphosphino)benzyl]-4-phe-nyl-4,5-dihydrooxazole (1c). 1c was prepared as a white solid ( $48 \%$, two steps) using the same procedure as that for $1 \mathbf{1 a} . \mathrm{Mp}$ : $109-111^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}-43.0\left(c 1.15, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1662$, 1432, 989, 752, 745, 698; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $3.90-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,24 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.44$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 121 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-14.44 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 33.2(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{PC}}=22.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 69.5,74.6,126.6,127.27,127.34,128.36,128.46$, $128.6,129.2,129.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.6,133.7,133.89,133.95$, $136.18,136.24,136.30,136.37,136.44,139.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=26.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 142.3, 166.8 (observed complexity due to P-C splitting; definitive assignments have not yet been made); MS (ESI) $m / z: 422$ (M + $1^{+}$). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NOP}: \mathrm{C}, 79.79 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.74 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.32$. Found: C, 79.62; H, 5.74, N, 3.10.
Preparation of (S)-2-(2-Bromophenyl)-N-(1-hydroxy-3-me-thylbutan-2-yl)-2-methylpropanamide (9a). ${ }^{23}$ 2-(2-Bromophenyl)-2-methylpropanoic acid $\mathbf{8}(983 \mathrm{mg}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to thionyl chloride ( 8 mL ). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h , and the excess $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ was removed in vacuo. Dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added, and the mixture was concentrated in vacuo to remove any remaining $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ and this was repeated three times. Dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added to the acid chloride. Under an argon atmosphere, L -valinol ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The above acyl chloride solution was added dropwise over a period of 0.5 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred overnight. The resulting solution was washed with water ( 10 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate $=2$ ) to give $9 \mathbf{a}$ as an oil ( $1.140 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, $87 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.82(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.25-5.27(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
7.15-7.21 (m, 1H), 7.35-7.41 (m, 1H), 7.51-7.54 (m, 1H), 7.61-7.64 (m, 1H); MS (EI) m/z (\%): 328 ( $\mathrm{M}+1^{+}, 100$ ).

Preparation of (S)-2-(2-Bromophenyl)- N -(1-hydroxy-3,3-di-methylbutan-2-yl)-2-methylpropanamide (9b). 9b was prepared as a white solid ( $93 \%$ ) using the same procedure as that for $\mathbf{9 a}$. Mp: $105-107^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3370, 2958, 2866, 1651, 1504, 1437, 767, 757; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.82$ (s, 9H), $1.69-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.68-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.37-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 26.4,26.8,26.9,33.4,48.8,60.4,63.4$, 124.0, 127.9, 128.1, 129.0, 135.0, 142.9, 177.8; MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%): $286\left(\mathrm{M}^{-}{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}^{+}, 21.81\right), 284\left(\mathrm{M}^{-}{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}^{+}, 23.53\right), 199$ (95.81), 197 (100.00); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}$ : C, $56.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.07 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.09$. Found: C, 56.30; H, 6.85; N, 3.98.
Preparation of ( $S$ )-2-[2-(2-Bromophenyl)propan-2-yl]-4-iso-propyl-4,5-dihydrooxazole (10a). ${ }^{23}$ To a solution of amide 9 a $(1.140 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(2.911 \mathrm{~g}$, $11.1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(3.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.3$ mmol ). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), water ( 10 mL ) was added and the resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=10$ ) to give 10a as a colorless oil ( $1.020 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.18-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.42-7.46 (m, 1H), 7.55-7.58(m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ : $\delta 17.9,19.1,27.2,27.7,32.3,42.4,70.3,72.0,123.8,127.3$, 127.4, 128.2, 134.6, 143.6, 171.7.

Preparation of (S)-2-[2-(2-Bromophenyl)propan-2-yl]-4-tert-butyl-4,5-dihydrooxazole (10b). 10b was prepared as an oil (99\%) using the same procedure as that for 10 a . IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2976$, 2955, 2903, 2869, 1664, 1471, 756; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-4.07$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.33(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 26.0,27.2,28.0,34.0,42.5$, 69.1, 75.6, 123.7, 127.3, 127.4, 128.2, 134.6, 143.7, 171.7; MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%): 324 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.52$ ), 244 (100); 268 (30.85), 266 (32.06). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{BrNO}: \mathrm{C}, 59.27 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.84 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.32$. Found: C, 59.30; H, 6.89; N, 4.14 .

Preparation of (S)-2-\{2-[2-(Diphenylphosphino)phenyl]pro-pan-2-yl\}-4-iso-propyl-4,5-dihydrooxazole (2a). To a solution of 10a ( $997 \mathrm{mg}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 15 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $2.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise over 5 min . The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at that temperature, and then $\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(0.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise over 5 min to the solution at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature, stirred overnight, and quenched with a saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The water phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Evaporation of the organic solvents gave a residue, which was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate $=10$ ) to give $\mathbf{2 a}$ as a white solid ( $766 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}$, $57 \%)$. Mp: $95-97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}-48.5\left(c \quad 0.935, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $(\mathrm{KBr}$, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3051,2982,2953,2873,1652,1478,747,737,695 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.60-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 17.4,19.1,29.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 30.1$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 31.8,42.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 69.4,71.8,125.4$, $125.5,126.8,127.9,128.0,128.1,128.17,128.24,129.6,133.1$ (d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{PC}}=18.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 135.6,135.9,138.2,138.3,138.40,138.44,151.4$, (d, $J_{\mathrm{PC}}=26 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 173.0 (observed complexity due to P-C splitting; definitive assignments have not yet been made); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (121
$\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-14.52$; MS (ESI) $m / z: 416\left(\mathrm{M}+1^{+}\right)$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30}$ NOP: C, $78.05 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.28$; N, 3.37. Found: C, 77.98; H, 7.34; N, 3.27.
Preparation of (S)-2-\{2-[2-(Diphenylphosphino)phenyl]pro-pan-2-yl\}-4-tert-butyl-4,5-dihydrooxazole (2b). 2b was prepared as a white solid ( $32 \%$ ) using the same procedure as that for $\mathbf{2 a}$. Mp: $124-126^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}-44.5\left(c 0.70, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3054, 2983, 2947, 2899, 2865, 1657, 1478, 1432, 746, 696; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.80(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.43$ (dd, $J=8.1,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.78$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13}{ }^{3} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 25.9,29.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 30.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=8.6\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 33.8,42.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 68.4,75.5,125.4,125.5,126.8$, $127.8,127.97,128.04,128.1,128.2,129.54,133.07\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=18.3\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 133.12\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=18.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 135.7,136.0,138.1,138.3,138.39$, $138.42,138.6,151.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PC}}=26 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 172.7$ (observed complexity due to $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ splitting; definitive assignments have not yet been made); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-14.44$; MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%): 430$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+1^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NOP}: \mathrm{C}, 78.29 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.51$; $\mathrm{N}, 3.26$. Found: C, 78.02; H, 7.48; N, 3.09.

## General Experimental Procedure of the Asymmetric Heck

 Reaction. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dba})_{2}(8.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol})$, ligand $(0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, and solvent ( 2.5 mL ) were placed under an argon atmosphere in a Schlenk tube with a magnetic stirring bar. After the mixture stirred for 30 min , phenyl triflate ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, followed by the addition of 2,3-dihydrofuran ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ $(0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for about 20 h until the reaction was completed monitored by TLC. The reaction mixture was quenched with additional EtOAc ( 2 mL ), and the resulting suspension was filtered through a short silica gel column to removed the palladium black. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the mixture was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to afford a mixture of $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ as a colorless oil. The ratio of $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ was determined by GC. The ee value of 13 was determined by chiral GC or HPLC. ${ }^{9 f}$Computational Details. All calculations were carried out with the Gaussion 03 program package. ${ }^{19}$ Molecular geometries were
fully optimized with the density functional theory method of B3LYP ${ }^{24}$ without any symmetry constraint. The effective core potentials (ECPs) of Hay and Wadt with a double- $\xi$ basis set (LanL2DZ) were used for Pd and $\mathrm{P},{ }^{25}$ and the $6-31 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ basis set was used for $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and O . The energies were further estimated using a larger basis set $\left(6-311+\mathrm{G}^{*} *\right.$ basis set for $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and O$)$ by single-point calculations. Solvent effects was estimated by the integral equation formulation of the polarized continuum model (IEFPCM). ${ }^{26}$ THF was used as solvent with a dielectric constant value of 7.58 and using UAHF (United Atom Hartree-Fock) radii for the respective ( $\mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{P}$ ) in the IEFPCM calculations. The nature of the extrema (local minima or transition states) was checked by analytical frequency calculations. The energies given throughout the paper are Gibbs free energy values $G$ computed with Gaussian 03 at 298 K (entropy revise at the reaction temperature of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and $P=1 \mathrm{~atm}$.
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